



Sh. Wassan Singh Goraya,
S/o Sh. Ajit Singh,
R/o 120 D, Sector-79,
Airport Road, Mohali.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Technical Education and Industrial Training,
Sector-36, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Complaint Case No.1011/2018

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
27.07.2018	07.08.2018	Nil	Nil	24.09.2018

Present: Sh. Wassan Singh Goraya , Complainant in person.
Sh. Rashpal Singh, Senior Assistant, O/o Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training, Chandigarh- for Respondent.

ORDER

This case has been committed to this bench from that of Sh. Sanjeev Garg, Ld. SIC, on his request on the ground that the Complainant is not co-operating in the conduct of proceedings.

The Complainant had sought information from the Director, Technical Education and Industrial Training vide his application dated 27.07.2018. From the perusal of his application, it is made out that the information sought concerns the projects relating to vocational training and skill development approved by the Director. It also seeks a certified copy of the documents associated from the construction of project proposals till their approval and other allied information. The Respondent brought to the notice of the Commission that some part of the information sought for is in the custody of Punjab Skill Development Mission, Sector-17, Chandigarh and keeping in view the provisions of Section 6 (3); his application was forwarded to it with the advice to arrange to provide the information to the information seeker. It was further brought to the notice of Commission that the



-2-

Complaint Case No.1011/2018

information asked for is very voluminous and its transmission would divert the resources of department disproportionately. Accordingly the Complainant was desired to visit the O/o the Skill Development Mission on any working day to inspect the record and identify the documents to a reasonable extent so that the Skill Development Mission could provide the information to him to his satisfaction.

The case came up for hearing on 11.04.2019 with this bench. The presiding officer suggested that the submissions made by the Respondent are very reasonable and he should inspect the entire record related to his application in contention. The Commission volunteered that it shall pass the directions accordingly so as to help him to procure the information needed by him. However, the Complainant seemingly is ignorant of the legal provisions and is adamant to procure the information from the Director, Technical Education only and did not in any way intend to deal with the office of Skill Development Mission despite having been told that information can be provided by the custodian of the record only.

The repeated advice of the Commission did not seemingly cut any ice with him. The Commission feels that the Complainant is a planted proxy of someone in department only, who intends to settle some personal score in the department. In the face of non-cooperative and incomprehensible attitude of the complainant, the Commission can hardly do anything to help him. The Commission does not see any malafide on anybody's part to withhold the information. The complaint is **disposed and closed accordingly**.

11.04.2019

**Sd/-
(Yashvir Mahajan)
State Information Commissioner**